

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

WAYMO LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING LLC,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
DEFENDANTS UBER
TECHNOLOGIES, INC.'S AND
OTTOMOTTO LLC'S MOTION TO
EXCLUDE ONE OF THREE
OPINIONS PROFFERED BY
WAYMO EXPERT JIM TIMMINS**

Judge: Hon. William H. Alsup
Trial Date: October 10, 2017

Having considered all of the papers filed in connection with Defendants Uber Technologies, Inc. and Ottomotto LLC’s Motion to One of Three Opinions Proffered by Waymo Expert Jim Timmins, the Court finds that the referenced opinion is inappropriate and unreliable under the Federal Rules of Evidence and *Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc.*, 509 U.S. 579 (1993), and its progeny.

6 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Defendants' Motion to One of Three Opinions
7 Proffered by Waymo Expert Jim Timmins is GRANTED.

9 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11 || Dated: , 2017

HONORABLE WILLIAM H. ALSUP
United States District Court Judge